

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
10

11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

CASE NO. CR F 03-5410 LJO

12 Plaintiff,

13 vs.

**ORDER TO DENY DEFENDANT'S
RECONSIDERATION MOTION ON PRIOR
"MOTION FOR DISCOVERY OF
PROSECUTORIAL ACT OF WITNESS AND
COUNSEL INTIMIDATION"**

14 VICTOR VEVEA,

15 Defendant.
16 _____/

17 The sole basis of the motion to reconsider the Court's December 10, 2007 ruling on the fifth
18 motion is found at page 2, lines 21-23. Such is an inadequate reason for the instant motion. It is never
19 sufficient to base a motion for reconsideration on the assertion that, although available, a party did not
20 realize it was important or essential to include. This Court DENIES the motion.

21 IT IS SO ORDERED.

22 **Dated: December 18, 2007**

/s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE